# **Drug Recognition Expert Manual Georgia**



File Name: Drug Recognition Expert Manual Georgia.pdf

**Size:** 3524 KB

Type: PDF, ePub, eBook

Category: Book

**Uploaded:** 29 May 2019, 22:57 PM

Rating: 4.6/5 from 554 votes.

### **Status: AVAILABLE**

Last checked: 11 Minutes ago!

In order to read or download Drug Recognition Expert Manual Georgia ebook, you need to create a FREE account.

# **Download Now!**

eBook includes PDF, ePub and Kindle version

- Register a free 1 month Trial Account.
- ☐ Download as many books as you like (Personal use)
- ☐ Cancel the membership at any time if not satisfied.
- **☐ Join Over 80000 Happy Readers**

#### **Book Descriptions:**

We have made it easy for you to find a PDF Ebooks without any digging. And by having access to our ebooks online or by storing it on your computer, you have convenient answers with Drug Recognition Expert Manual Georgia . To get started finding Drug Recognition Expert Manual Georgia , you are right to find our website which has a comprehensive collection of manuals listed. Our library is the biggest of these that have literally hundreds of thousands of different products represented.



## **Book Descriptions:**

# **Drug Recognition Expert Manual Georgia**

The International Association of Chiefs of Police, the National Highway Transportation Safety Administration and state officials have teamed up to create the Drug Recognition Expert program. Drug recognition is a growing field in law enforcement and has demonstrated its effectiveness as a means of identifying and prosecuting drugimpaired drivers. A properlytrained DRE can successfully identify drug impairment and accurately determine the category of drugs causing impairment. Since the first DRE program was started in the U.S. in the early 1970s, DRE programs have grown to include 49 states, the District of Columbia, Canada and several other countries around the world. With the training of DREs, many states have seen a dramatic increase in drugimpaired driving arrests and convictions. Join the fight against impaired drivers. For more information, visit the Georgia Public Safety Training Center's DRE website. If you are interested in DRE training, contact the Georgia Public Safety Training Center Traffic Division. In Georgia, DRE officer are often assigned to DUI investigation. The guidelines system shall be used in determining parole actions on all inmates, except those serving life sentences, who will become statutorily eligible for parole consideration. The system shall be consistent with the board's primary goal of protecting society and shall take into consideration the severity of the current offense, the inmate's prior criminal history, the inmate's conduct, and the social factors which the board has found to have value in predicting the probability of further criminal behavior and successful adjustment under parole supervision. The rules or regulations shall be adopted in conformity with Chapter 13 of Title 50, the "Georgia Administrative Procedure Act.". It will be your new onestopshop for the information you are looking for related to the DRE Section, its activities, discussions, and  $resources. \underline{http://fcsafaris.com/Uploads/crhp-manual-online.xml}$ 

 drug recognition expert manual georgia, drug recognition expert manual georgia 2017, drug recognition expert manual georgia state, drug recognition expert manual georgia power, drug recognition expert manual georgia o keeffe.

We invite you to use the DRE Section Community to connect with fellow section members who are also interested in the efforts of traffic safety and the mission of the DRE Section. Keep up on DRE news and events, share insights, and more. Use the community to learn from the experiences and expertise of others in the section. Get feedback and answers to questions you may have via discussion boards and provide your own feedback and expertise to help others resolve challenges and improve their skills as a DRE or DRE related professional. The DRE Section Community is just one more benefit of being a member of the DRE Section. This is one of many membership benefits available to DRE Section members. Not a member Sign up today here. The information included in this report reflects the overview and activities of the DEC programs nationwide for the specific calendar year. Please sign in to read and get access to more member only content. Richard is a former Georgia prosecutor with over 20 years of experience. Check out his stellar reviews on Avvo. Another example is when a person is pulled over for an ordinary traffic violation, and the officer believes they are disoriented or manifesting symptoms of drug use. Or, if a person is distraught after an accident, and they are found to be in possession of their legally prescribed antidepressant medications. These judgments are based on several DAYS of training based on applying symptoms and observations to charts created by flawed studies. In the only controlled studies applying these techniques, trained DRE police officers were only slightly better than 5050 in determining whether a person was on a nervous system depressant to the extent that they were less safe to drive. Better put, they were slightly better than tossing a coin. False positive results were more than

#### 30%!!http://www.mecaniquekd.ca/upload/crianza-de-borregos-manual.xml

Medical doctors go through four years of medical school, a residency for three or four years, hundreds of hours of postmedical school training to learn to detect drug usage and impairment. Police officers take 12 weeks of classes taught by other police officers, who are trained in flawed techniques. In court, prosecutors present those officers as experts fully trained to not only detect subjects under the influence of drugs, but to also tell a jury what drug the person is taking. I will not explain here the concepts of probability and statistics. However, it is accepted that for a study to be accurate, it must have a sensitivity standard and specificity standard of 90%. Good medicine requires it. In spite of this reality, the DRE officer who has 714 days of classroom training completely believes that he or she can determine drug impairment. However, these same officers are never told in their training that at best they may have a 3040% false positive variance. It is a complete and total lie. Even medical doctors do not speak in terms of beyond a reasonable doubt. They may give an opinion, but would never diagnose someone without blood testing. However, police officers testify that based on their training, they can determine impairment. The amount of drug that is therapeutic and impairing is different in every individual. That is why people take medication under the care of a doctor, not their police officer nextdoor neighbor. The officer cannot take into consideration how the medication affects a person at different levels. The DRE also cannot take into consideration whether a person has become immune to the medications he or she is taking. In fact, being in pain or suffering from depression can cause a greater driving impairment than a person taking their antidepressants or pain relievers correctly. That is what medical SCIENCE teaches us.

At the Law Offices of Richard Lawson, we never concede the officer has even a remote understanding of the signs and manifestations of drug impairment. We challenge the assumptions of the DRE and the legitimacy of their training. We also have real medical doctors who can be called as expert witnesses. These doctors can rebut the officers conclusions and offer medical reasons why a persons actual condition could mimic impairment. Let our GEORGIA DUI ATTORNEYS and experts draw our conclusions before entering a guilty plea. We are here to help 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Never forget that even in a DUI DRUGS case, you only have thirty days to file your appeal, or you may lose your license to drive in Georgia for up to one year. Contact us now for a free consultation. This decision should not be made without first consulting with a toprated Georgia DUI Attorney. We are here 24 hours a day, 7 days a week because your problems should not have to wait until Monday Morning. Your Best Defense Begins Here! Mr. Lawson will contact you shortly We will work tirelessly to help you while compassionately holding your hand throughout the entire DUI Defense Process. If we do not have a convenient office, we will come to you. We practice throughout Metro Atlanta and North Georgia. If your case is in an area we do not serve, we will find you an attorney in your area free of charge. Our office is part of a Statewide network of Georgia DUI Lawyers. Our attorneys are standing by. Your DUI Case will not defend itself. Your Best Georgia DUI Defense Begins Here. His advanced degrees Masters level and Ph.D in teaching have helped him reach the pinnacle position in America as a trainer of any person wanting the inside story about the reliability and validity of these roadside agility exercises when administered by marginally trained police officers. An added "plus" for Lance is his prior work as a police officer in Texas, which helps him relate well to law enforcement officers.

#### http://gbb.global/blog/eclipse-1100hr-elliptical-trainer-owner-s-manual

This prior experience in the field also helps Lance deflect a prosecutor's questions about the basis of his opinions given at pretrial motions or at trial of a case involving field sobriety testing." Honestly, I had no idea what to expect from an excop or the quality of the education I would receive. Immediately, I recognized Lance to be an invaluable asset to the criminal defense bar. Lance was enthusiastic about sharing his knowledge of these tests, their proper administration and the shortcomings seen in so many SFST administrations that can lead to a conviction." He is always

more than willing to help both through his classes and personally. I have also attended the SFST instructor course and the DRE Preschool with Lance. The knowledge I gained with Lance's assistance helps me daily in court, with other lawyers, judges and even police officers. I highly recommend taking any course offered by Lance. "Lance is on my standing list of goto expert consultants." Each lawyer left that program with the confidence to take on this previously intimidating category of cases without fear of failure. It was not only well worth the investment of time and money, but it provided the skills absolutely necessary for any attorney claiming to be a DUI defense lawyer." I have known Lance Platt for about 5 years and have received SFST training from him. I spent 3 days at his course and became NHTSA qualified to administer the SFSTs. In addition, I have also have attended numerous seminars over the years where Lance Platt was a keynote speaker. Lance is incredibly knowledgable in the subject of DWI detection and administration of the SFSTs. His lectures were extremely interesting. On countless ocassions Lance has given me advice and consultation pertaining to my DWI cases. This is in conjunction with my membership in the National College of DWI Defense. Lance's experience and educational credentials in this field are among the very best in the country.

Clearly he is one of the top experts in the country in this area, if not the very best." His level of expertise and candor has made him an invaluable resource for any attorney facing the difficult task of defending someone accused of impaired driving. Both his field sobriety testing student course and drug recognition evaluation course provides the attorney with training commensurate with any witness that they may have to cross examine. Mr. Platt has been an intricate part of many successful defenses mounted by this firm and I strongly encourage every attorney to consult with him on even the most difficult of cases." I have attended his presentations, taken his SFST course and I have used him as an expert witness. He is extremely knowledgeable, reliable and professional. His demeanor on the witness stand is guite impressive. He has the respect of the DUI defense community nationwide. I endorse him enthusiastically." The most respected DUI lawyers such as Deandra Grant, Evan Levow, Andrew Mishlove and the legendary Bubba Head, consult Lance Platt because he sees through to the answer and he tells it to you straight. Canadian lawyers defending any drug impaired cases should contact Lance. There is nothing like being prepared to do the best job you can for your client. The specialized insight of the right expert is often just the thing to find success at trial. When it comes to DRE cases, Lance is the right expert." He is thorough, concise, and professional. He has a way of talking directly to the point in a manner that jurors, judges and lawyers appreciate. Lance will find any problems or errors in police investigations, and the significance of those problems in the big picture. If the police do a good job, he will tell me that as well. Lance's knowledge and experience in police DUI investigative procedures are unsurpassed, in my view." I have the highest respect for Lance, and would recommend him without reservation.

"The instruction is very thorough and you will learn more about the DUI defense process and field sobriety tests and other issues related to DUI defense than you could ever possibly imagine before going." He is exemplary in both capacities. Indeed, his personality is such that juries immediately like him. Also, as an expert, he is never afraid to say in the appropriate case that he cannot support a client's claim of nonimpairment. Here, Lance's honesty is what makes him a real expert because his answers are not always the same, e.g., the police are always wrong or that the defendant is always innocent. Rather, Lance has proved himself as a man of integrity by honestly calling his cases as he sees them. As a trial lawyer, I especially appreciate his thoroughness because he makes me a better lawyer by causeing me to learn something new each time he works with me. The first seminar I attended was "DRE for Lawyers." The training was invaluable and my clients have greatly benefited from it.He has also, politely, corrected me on my interpretation of the SFST manuals when I am mistaken as to their proper administration. The lawyers he trained routinely ask me when I can arrange for him to come back for additional training. In addition, I send every DWI case to Lance for

review. He has provided excellent training, case reviews and testimony for me over the years. I highly recommend not only his courses but his expertise as a consultant and witness." I was amazed at the knowledge Lance was able to provide for both the standardized test and the research NHTSA based development of the program. If anyone is debating on whether they should attend a class on SFST or which instructor they should choose, Lance would be my recommendation. Thanks again!" The training provided by Lance is essential to the development of any DUI defense attorney. The same day I was sworn in as a licensed attorney I flew to attend the NHTSA SFST student course offered by Lance.

I learned more from that course than any other seminar I have attended since. Because of his law enforcement background, Lance is able to provide insight in the training course where others simply cannot. Lance is great on the stand in front of a jury, shows a mastery of the subject that gives prosecutors fits. One weekend with Platt and Associates and you will never look at field sobriety testing the same way again! "He is efficient and thorough. His case evaluation is an indispensable part to any DUI case. It can bring an early, favorable resolution for your client or he can be a nightmare for any prosecutor." There were no breath or blood tests, so the interperation of the SFST's was of paramount importance. In his extremely thorough report, Lance identified several parts of the DVD, explained why it was important, recited the NHTSA standard and then offered his comments on how the DVD deviated from the NHTSA standards. Unlike some experts, Lance came in the evening before trial and stayed the entire two days of the trial. His testimony demeanor was outstanding. We had a tough case, but with Lance's help we got a 66 hung jury on a DUI 2nd. Another DUI by the same officer was pending after the instant trial. After the trial the prosecutor offered a plea to two DUI 1st's. He was a Training Officer in the Georgia State Patrol Training Division and was a supervisor at the largest patrol post in the State of Georgia. The former Georgia State Patrol Sergeant has also received extensive courtroom experience, as a result of arresting nearly 1000 impaired drivers throughout his career. He has also trained thousands of professionals to include State Troopers, Police Officers, Prosecutors, Judges, Private Attorneys, Forensic Scientists, Toxicologists and many more DUI related topics. He also served as a member on the Georgia Technical Advisory Panel TAP Committee, which was responsible for regulating the DRE program in Georgia.

Ron Lloyd has been requested by the Georgia State Patrol, the Georgia Police Academy, the Prosecuting Attorney's Council of Georgia, the Georgia Safety Management System, the Georgia Bureau of Investigations GBI Crime Lab, the University of Georgia Institute of Continuing Judicial Education ICIE Department, The Institute of Continuing Legal Education ICLE of Georgia, GACDL, NACDL, DODD, the National College for DUI Defense NCDD, Metro Atlanta Conflict Defenders, as well as many other agencies to make presentations on DUI related topics. He is an approved instructor for the State Bar of Georgia and the National College for DUI Defense NCDD. Some seminars involved extensive instruction on Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus HGN by some of the most respected and wellknown eye specialists in the country. In July 2000, he attended a session in West Palm Beach, Florida that was taught by Dr. Karl Citek, Pacific University, Oregon. Dr. Citek is an Associate Professor at the College of Optometry. In July 2001 he attended a session in Kissimmee, Florida that was taught by Dr. Jack Richman, who is a Professor at the New England College of Optometry in Boston, Massachusetts. In July 2016, he attended a session in Clearwater Beach, Florida that was again taught by Dr. Karl Citek, Pacific University, Oregon. Both doctors have been used on many occasions as experts on the topic of Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus HGN and have been involved in NHTSA Research Studies involving HGN and other types of nystagmus. Mr. Lloyd was also selected to assist in the writing of the Georgia State Patrol's Alcohol Enforcement Policy and the section pertaining to alcohol in the Georgia Drivers Manual, during his career as a Georgia State Trooper. Mr. Lloyd has never been denied by any court as an Expert Witness on DUI Detection and Standardized Field Sobriety Testing SFSTs or Drug Recognition Expert DRE procedures.

He has been instrumental in the practice of DUI Defense by providing an objective view of all aspects of the DUI investigation. We are extremely excited to be working with Ron Lloyd. Not checkers. Bwhahaha! OWI 2nd to Reckless DRE training is advanced training for highly motivated officers active in OWI enforcement. This program offers an academically challenging curriculum developed to enhance an officers ability to identify, evaluate, and document suspected drug impairment. We hate spam more than you do so your email address is safe with us. How to Beat a DUI Attorney Fee Options Contact Us Locations Free Atlanta DUI Case Evaluation If they are experts at RELIABLY identifying drugimpaired citizens in a motor vehicle, then they need to have a medical license or at least a Ph.D. in "clinical pharmacology," "clinical psychology", "educational psychology," "testing and measurement," "human factors," or "human physiology" to be guessing at medical and anatomical issues. These screening officers are COPS, not physicians, and feigning superior knowledge of psychophysical tests, to gain convictions, is committing fraud upon the American driving public. This is like shooting fish in a barrel — there is no SKILL required, when the fish come up to the surface and wait for the bullet. The officers who are "certified" to conduct the DRT 12step testing are called "practitioners" by their Manual. Use of the bogus moniker of "practitioner" is just one more aspect of the fraud perpetrated upon the American driving public, by an agency of our Federal Government. The level of unreliability is not much better than the dismally poor NHTSA standardized tests, when an officer does not get to ask the person which drugs he or she was taking. Scientific Reliability Means That 90% or Better PROVEN Repeatability Is Required To be RELIABLE, a correlation between the "symptoms" being investigated and a socalled modified Romberg balance test must be established.

This is NOT done by utilizing "results" from police officers who at a prearrest questioning of the suspected driver, obtain physical proof of drug use e.g., the smell of burnt weed, or observing prescription pill bottles or a blunt in plain view, or simply asking the person about his or her drug use that day, and getting an affirmative answer. THAT is not proof by use of "the scientific method." Scientific doubleblind research eliminates such biased data as the evaluator being able to obtain an admission from the driver. To prove scientific reliability, the results of a legitimate controlled study must with hundreds of study participants be able to reliably predict BOTH a which test subjects are impaired by drugs or alcohol, and b which test subjects are not impaired by the "target" impairing substance. Scientific reliability then mandates that such testing achieve 90% reliability. The scientific method used in investigating "reliability" calls for REPEATABILITY being proven. In the original 1977 and 1981 NHTSA controlled studies, Dr. Marcelline Burns and company DID gather data on "repeatability," both for the SAME officer checking the same test subject 2 weeks after the original testing, and with the same subject dosed at the same BAC level to see how these reliability numbers looked. When looking at the raw data, NONE the three approved NHTSA standardized tests approached 90% reliability. Importantly, Dr. Burns and her team also collected data of "interrater" reliability, meaning that a different officer checks the same test subject as the initial officer, using the same methodology, on the same day, to see if separate "raters" come to the same conclusion about "impairment." This step is ONE of the many steps that scientific researchers MUST use to be able to claim statistical reliability.

A doubleblind, controlled study would eliminate officers being allowed to get the test subjects to selfincriminate BEFORE "guessing" if the person was impaired by drugs or alcohol. Until that can be proven with a statistically significant group of test subjects, these evaluations are bogus, fraudulent, and are a violation of constitutional protections for citizens in the United States. Innocent people are being arrested and CONVICTED of driving under the influence. Call Our DUI Law Firm TODAY for Help With Your DUI In GA If you need the best Atlanta DUI Marijuana lawyer, call Bubba Head or his two law partners Larry Kohn and Cory Yager today at 404 5675515 and let one of them tell you about the many drunk driving and drugged driving cases they have won. Among DUI law firms in Georgia, Mr. Head and his partners have more cumulative AVVO 5star results than any other firm.

Our DUI law office is very thorough in going over the police evidence in your case, from your breathalyzer and blood test results, Romberg test results, and your field sobriety test scores. In fact, Mr. Yager IS an excop, with over 1,000 DUI arrests to his credit. If you are charged with DUI less safe under 40 6 391, being over the legal alcohol limit in GA, DUI per se marijuana zero tolerance, or facing a license suspension for a violation of the Georgia implied consent law for "refusal," our DUI attorneys CAN help. Our Atlanta DUI attorneys offer a FREE professional case evaluation to citizens charged with drunk driving or drugged driving. During this consultation, Mr. Head or one of his partners can go over your legal fee options, relate our past experience with successful DUI defenses in cases like yours, and answer any questions you may have about your Georgia DUI case, Georgia DUI law, successful DUI defenses, and possible payment plans in order to explain how our DUI law firm is different. What Kind of Attorney Do I Need.

Searching for The Best DUI Lawyer After being arrested for DUI in Georgia, the first thing to do it identify an expert on Georgia DUI law through top attorney ratings. Look at who is BEHIND the law firm, review each of the trial lawyers' credentials, and check out the criminal defense attorney who leads the law office. Every experienced DUI lawyer in the law firm must have full command of DUI laws and possess training in all aspects of successfully challenging the driving under the influence criminal case. This successful defense record must include suppressing breath alcohol tests, and knowing every prior appellate decision on the Georgia implied consent law. An increasing number of drugged driving cases require that our criminal defense lawyers know how to challenge a GBI blood alcohol or drugs test. Being an INSTRUCTOR in the three NHTSA standardized field sobriety tests SFST is also necessary. The best DUI attorneys in Atlanta, Georgia will offer you a FREE consultation, explain how their criminal attorneys search for a successful defense in your case, and aggressively represent clients to the maximum extent the law allows. Limit your search to DUI lawyers who have legitimate lawyer ratings and a history of proven results on knowing how to beat a DUI. If we are the criminal defense attorneys for you, ask us about our attorney payment plans. Call our DUI defense lawyers NOW, 247, for an initial case review and FREE legal advice 4045675515, or email our criminal justice attorneys. Its Free! Send Your Question. A DRE is an officer that has received advanced training to determine if a driver is impaired by drugs. In order to do this, they are supposed to conduct a 12 step evaluation of the subject. This process is Standardized and Systematic, which means that it is supposed to be done the same way every time. At the end of the evaluation the DRE determines 3 things; is the subject impaired. Is the impairment injury, illness, or drug related.

If drug related, what category or categories of drugs is or are the likely cause of the subject's impairment. How accurate are DRE's To become certified they only have to be accurate 75% of the time. This means that they can be completely wrong on 1 out of 4 people and still become certified. A laboratory study from 1998 dosed volunteers with several different types of drugs. Some volunteers were also given placebo's, which means they had no active drugs in their system. The DRE's incorrectly concluded that 28% of the placebo dosed subjects were impaired. This means that subjects that had absolutely no drugs in their system were wrongly classified as impaired. This shows that the DRE program is not perfect, and this may amount to reasonable doubt in court. It should be no surprise that some people that have been arrested for DUI Marijuana have had clean blood and urine tests. The problem with marijuana is that there is no accurate indicator. DRE's are taught that the eyes don't lie, so they should trust the eye tests. What eye tests do DRE's use. They use Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus HGN, Vertical Gaze Nystagmus VGN, Lack of Convergence, Pupil Size Estimation and Reaction to Light. This is where the problems begin. Marijuana has no affect on HGN, VGN, or Reaction to Light. Marijuana may cause the pupils to dilate become larger, but it also may not. The pupils also dilate in dark lighting, so this will naturally occur during most DUI investigations. Now we are down to the last test, Lack of Convergence. This simply means that a person is unable to cross their eyes. Marijuana does cause Lack of Convergence, but approximately

10% of the otherwise normal population cannot converge their eyes when sober. This means that 1 out of 10 people will fail this test while completely sober. This is definitely not an indicator that can be truly relied upon. There are many other tests that DRE's use including; Modified Romberg Balance, Walk and Turn, One Leg Stand, and Finger to Nose.

The problem is that none of these tests have been validated to indicate impairment from marijuana. This means that it is up to the individual DRE's discretion as to what the tests results indicate. This part of the testing is not "Standardized." What if the Blood or Urine test comes back positive for THC Tetrahydrocannibinol or the active metabolite Hydroxy THC. First, there are no studies that indicate that a certain quantity example 5 nanograms of THC in a person's blood means that they were impaired at the time. Doesn't THC or Hydroxy THC in the person's blood indicate recent use. Not necessarily! A study was conducted on chronic marijuana users. They abstained from marijuana usage for 30 days. At the end of the 30 days their blood was tested. Some still tested positive for THC after 30 days of abstaining. If you or your client has been arrested for DUI Marijuana, have your case reviewed by a former Drug Recognition Expert Instructor to see if there are issues with your case. Joshua has extensive knowledge and training obtained from ten years as a police officer in Metro Atlanta. He has extensive experience training law training law enforcement officers as well as courtroom preparation and testimony. He resides in Woodstock, Georgia with his wife, daughter and Miniature Schnauzer, Gus. For more information, visit caselockinc.com. Robert Stokely, Natalie Ashman, for Appellee. We reverse, for reasons that follow. Because the trial court sits as the trier of fact when ruling on a motion to suppress or a motion in limine, its findings based upon conflicting evidence are analogous to a jury verdict and should not be disturbed by a reviewing court if there is any evidence to support them. When we review a trial courts decision on such motions to exclude evidence, we construe the evidence most favorably to uphold the findings and judgment, and we adopt the trial courts findings on disputed facts and credibility unless they are clearly erroneous.

http://fscl.ru/content/eclipse-1100hra-elliptical-manual